Case 3:15-cr-00400-SI Document 10 Filed 08/10/15 Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

United States of America,)	Case No. CR	15-04-00 2	I.	
Plaintiff, v.)		ORDER EXCL SPEEDY TRIAI		
David Lee Sheridan)			AUG 10 2015	
Defendant.)	Sople	mber 4	RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
For the reasons stated by the parties on the recompeedy Trial Act from August 10, 2015 to the continuance outweigh the best interest of \$161(h)(7)(A). The Court makes this finding a	to <u>Acquest</u> of the public	, 2015 ac and the defendan	and finds that the at in a speedy tri	e ends of justice served al. See 18 U.S.C. §	
Failure to grant a continuance w See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iii)		cely to result in a r	miscarriage of ju	stice.	
The case is so unusual or so condefendants, the nature of or law, that it is unreasonable to itself within the time limits esta	the prosec expect ad	ution, or the	e existence of no for pretrial prod	ovel questions of fact ceedings or the trial	
-	Failure to grant a continuance would deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).				
counsel's other scheduled case	Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given counsel's other scheduled case commitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).				
Failure to grant a continuance we necessary for effective preparations See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iii)	ion, taking				
IT IS SO ORDERED.			7 _		
DATED: 4/19/15		JOSEPH C. SP Chief Magistra		_	
STIPULATED: Attorney for Defendan		Mela 1 Assistant Unite	d States Attorne	_ y	